This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

Monte Carlo Study of Binary Copolymerizations. 1. Ultimate and Penultimate Effect, Applications

Ioan Moţoc^a; Ioan Muşcutariu^a ^a Chemistry Research Center M. Viteazu 24 Department of Physics, Timisoara University, Timisoara, Romania

To cite this Article Moţoc, Ioan and Muşcutariu, Ioan(1981) 'Monte Carlo Study of Binary Copolymerizations. 1. Ultimate and Penultimate Effect, Applications', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 15: 1, 75 — 84 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00222338108066431 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222338108066431

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Monte Carlo Study of Binary Copolymerizations. 1. Ultimate and Penultimate Effect, Applications

IOAN MOTOC and IOAN MUSCUTARIU

Chemistry Research Center M. Viteazu 24 Department of Physics Timişoara University 1900 Timişoara, Romania

ABSTRACT

The Monte Carlo models of binary irreversible copolymerization with ultimate and penultimate effect are applied to the copolymerization of acrylic acid and methyl acrylate, and styrene and benzylidene malononitrile, respectively. The agreement with available experimental data is quite good. A comparative study between the formal kinetics model and the Monte Carlo model reveals that statements concerning the copolymer composition are robust, but statements concerning the sequence distribution are not always robust.

INTRODUCTION

In previous papers we have proposed and tested Monte Carlo models for binary irreversible copolymerization reactions with ultimate [1, 2] and penultimate [3, 4] effects kinetics (for a recently published review on Monte Carlo applications to study the polymers microstructure, see Ref. 5).

The Monte Carlo models are easy to formulate and to implement. They have at least two important advantages: they take into account the conversion in a quite simple manner and visualize the relative distribution of the mers in macromolecule.

The quality of Monte Carlo computations strongly depends upon the size of the used sample (in our case polymerization degree, N; $N \simeq 1000$ assures statistical stationarity) and the quality of the random number generator used. Our programs use the ALEAT subroutine to generate random numbers with uniform repartition. The best sequence of a thousand random numbers obtained by means of ALEAT is the sequence 6000-7000, and it was used in computations. ALEAT (IRIS-50 mathematical library) and RANDOM (IBM mathematical library) are about of the same quality. We have proved [6] that by rejecting the first 6000 random numbers generated by ALEAT, the results obtained do not depend on the particular sequence of random numbers used in the computations.

The results reported here were obtained by means of our computer programs MEMØRY-3 (ultimate effect) and MEMØRY -5 (penultimate effect).

The copolymerization with ultimate effect was also studied using an analytic model [7]:

$$W_1 = N W_{21} / (W_{12} + W_{21}); \qquad W_2 = N W_{12} / (W_{12} + W_{21}) \qquad (1)$$

$$n_1(1) = NW_1(1 - W_{11})^2;$$
 $n_1(k) = n_1(1)W_{11}^{k-1}$ (2)

$$n_2(1) = NW_2(1 - w_{22})^2;$$
 $n_2(k) = n_2(1)w_{22}^{k-1}$ (3)

where W_1 and W_2 stand for the number of M_1 and M_2 mers, respectively, in the macromolecule with polymerization degree N. $n_1(k)$ and $n_2(k)$ represent the number of blocks $-(M_1 - M_2)_k$ and $-(M_2 - M_2)_k$, respec-

tively, in the macromolecule, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

w_{ii} stands for the probability of the reaction

$$\sim M_{i} + M_{j} - M_{j}$$
, $i, j = 1, 2$

Among the Monte Carlo models (based on a numerical method) and the analytic models (derived using the methods of formal kinetics), there is a principal distinction: the former are models at the molecular level, while the latter are models at the macroscopic level.

Using MEMØRY-3 and the above model, the following conclusions have been reached: statements concerning copolymer composition are robust, but those concerning sequence distribution are not always robust (according to Ref. 8, a statement is robust if it does not depend on the details of the model; if contrary, the statement is fragile).

The results detailed in the next section will argue the above statements.

		M_1 in c	M_1 in copolymer (mole %)				
No.	M_1 in feed (mole %)	Experimental	Eq. (1)	Monte Carlo			
1	88	91.1	90.8	92.0			
2	81	85.7	84.9	85.4			
3	72.4	77.2	77.2	76.7			
4	71.6	75.6	76.4	75.5			
5	58.9	61.5	63.9	61.2			
6	58.7	62.2	63.7	61.1			
7	51	56.1	55.6	53.4			
8	45.8	49.1	50.0	46.6			
9	34	41.1	36.9	33.0			
10	30.5	32.6	33.0	28.7			
11	20.2	25.1	21.5	20.2			

TABLE 1. Composition of Acrylic Acid (M_1) /Methyl Acrylate (M_2) Copolymers

ULTIMATE EFFECT: COPOLYMERIZATION OF ACRYLIC ACID AND METHYL ACRYLATE

The necessary experimental data $(r_1 = 1.4, r_2 = 1.0)$ concerning copolymerization of acrylic acid (M_1) with methyl acrylate (M_2) are taken from Ref. 9. The experiments were carried out at 6% conversions; thus it is justified to perform the computations for stationary conditions.

Table 1 collects the computed and experimental compositions of 11 acrylic acid/methyl acrylate copolymers.

The agreement between experimental and computed compositions is expressed by the following least-squares equations:

$$M_{1,exp} = 7.658 + 0.904M_{1,MC}$$

$$\frac{r \quad s \quad F \quad EV}{0.998 \quad 1.371 \quad 981.779 \quad 0.995}$$
(4)



where r is the correlation coefficient, s is the standard deviation, F is Fisher statistics, and EV is the explained variance.

Equations (4) and (5) need no further comment. In Tables 2, 3, and 4 the sequence distribution for Copolymers 2, 3 and 4 is shown. n(k) computed according to Eqs. (2) and (3) is given with one decimal digit and only $n(k) \ge 1$ are recorded.

Inspecting Tables 2, 3, and 4, one concludes that statements concerning the sequence distribution are fragile.

Thus the computed sequence distribution strongly depends on the details of the model used. Because experimental data are not available, one cannot discriminate between the two models.

PENULTIMATE EFFECT: COPOLYMERIZATION OF STYRENE WITH BENZYLIDENE-MALONONITRILE

In the case of copolymerization of styrene (M_1) and benzylidene malononitrile (M_2) , two sets of reactivity ratios were used: $r_1 = 0.125$, $r_2 = 0.000$, $r_1' = 1.250$, $r_2' = 0.000$ (Kreisel et al. [10]); and $r_1 = 1.00$, $r_2 = 0.00$, $r_1' = 1.44$, $r_2' = 0.00$ (Borrows et al. [11]).

The compositions of the copolymers studied are displayed in Table 5.

Equations (6) and (7) argue that one cannot discriminate between the two sets of reactivity ratios using only composition data:

$M_{1,exp} = 1.158 + 1.013 M_{1,a}$					(6)
	r	s	F	EV	
	0.996	0.593	179.745	0.989	
^M 1,exp	= -14.649	+ 1.220 1	^M 1,b		(7)
	r	S	F	EV	
	0.997	0.457	247.580	0.998	

Table 6 systematizes the sequence distribution in Copolymers 3 and 4 of Table 5.

Downloaded At: 08:04 25 January 2011

Length of the sequenceMonte CarloEqs. (2) and (3) (L) M_1 M_2 M_1 M_2 13727.1570.952546.528.51365.941.02485.41534.493654.49744.09843.73913.401033.101122.821212.571322.3414-2.141511.95		No. of sequences of length L				
of the sequence M_1 M_2 M_1 M_2 13727.1570.952546.528.51365.941.02485.41534.93654.49744.09843.73913.401033.101122.821212.571322.3414-2.141511.95	Length	Monte	Carlo	Eqs. (2) and (3)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(L)	M 1	M 2	M 1	M 2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	3	72	7.15	70.95	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	5	4	6.52	8.51	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	6		5.94	1.02	
5 3 4.93 6 5 4.49 7 4 4.09 8 4 3.73 9 1 3.40 10 3 3.10 11 2 2.82 12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	4	8		5.41		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	3		4.93		
7 4 4.09 8 4 3.73 9 1 3.40 10 3 3.10 11 2 2.82 12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	6	5		4.49		
8 4 3.73 9 1 3.40 10 3 3.10 11 2 2.82 12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	7	4		4.09	·	
9 1 3.40 10 3 3.10 11 2 2.82 12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	8	4		3.73		
10 3 3.10 11 2 2.82 12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	9	1		3.40		
1122.821212.571322.3414-2.141511.95	10	3		3.10		
12 1 2.57 13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	11	2		2,82		
13 2 2.34 14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	12	1		2.57		
14 - 2.14 15 1 1.95	13	2		2,34		
15 1 1.95	14	-		2.14		
	15	1		1,95		
16 5 1.77	16	5		1,77		
17 6 1.62	17	6		1.62		
18 1 1.47	18	1		1.47		
19 1 1.34	19	1		1.34		
20 1 1.22	20	1		1.22		
21 - 1.11	21	-		1.11		
22 3 1.01	22	3		1,01		
23 2	23	2				
24 2	24	2				
25 -	25	-				

TABLE 2. Sequence Distribution in Acrylic Acid $(M_{\,\rm l})/Methyl Acrylate (M_2)$ Copolymer No. 1

(continued)

Length of the sequence (L)	No. of sequences of length L				
	Monte Carlo		Eqs. (2) and (3)		
	M ₁	M2	M 1	M 2	
26	3				
27	1				
28	1				
34, 38	2, 1				

TABLE 2 (continued)

TABLE 3. Sequence Distribution in Acrylic Acid (M_1) /Methyl Acrylate (M_2) Copolymer No. 2

	No. of sequences of length L			
Length	Monte Carlo		Eq. (3)	
of the sequence (L)	M 1	M 2	M 1	M2
1	15	103	17.49	98.74
2	11	20	14,98	18.76
3	14	1	12,83	3,56
4	13		10.99	
5	6		9.41	
6	15		8.06	
7	6		6.90	
8	7		5.91	
9	3		5,06	
10	5		4.34	
11	5		3.72	
12	6		3.18	
13	5		2.73	
14	2		2.33	
15	5		2.00	
16	2		1.71	

(continued)

	No. of sequences of length L				
Length of the sequence (L)	Monte Carlo		Eq.	. (3)	
	M 1	M2	M ₁	M 2	
17	1	<u></u>	1.47		
18	-		1.25		
19	1		1.08		
20	-				
21	-				
22	-				
23	1				
24	-				
25	1				
26	-				

TABLE 3 (continued)

TABLE 4. Sequence Distribution in Acrylic Acid (M_1) /Methyl Acrylate (M_2) Copolymer No. 3

	No. of sequences of length L			
Length	Monte Carlo		Eq.	(3)
of the sequence (L)	M 1	M 2	M 1	M 2
1	43	133	35,35	119.59
2	27	34	27.78	33.01
3	24	8	21.84	9.11
4	16	2	17,16	2.51
5	18		13,49	
6	15		10.60	
7	8		8.33	
8	7		6.55	
9	5		5.15	

(continued)

	No. of sequences of length L				
Length	Monte Carlo		Eq.	. (3)	
of the sequence (L)	M ₁	M 2	M ₁	M 2	
10	4		4.05		
11	4		3.18		
12	-		2.50		
13	2		1.96		
14	_		1,54		
15	3		1.21		
16	-				
17	1				
18	-				
19	-				
20	-				
21	-				
22	-				
23	-				
24	-				
25	1				
26	-				

TABLE 4 (continued)

TABLE 5. Composition of Styrene (M_1) -Benzylidene Malononitrile (M_2) Copolymers

		M_1 in copolymer (mole %)			
No.	\mathbf{M}_{1} in feed (mole %)		Monte Carlo		
		Experimental ^C	a	b	
1	92.9	76.9	77.8	-	
2	89.4	74.5	74.5	73.1	
3	85.8	72.5	72.2	71.1	
			(0	continued)	

No.		M_1 in copolymer (mole %)			
	M1 in feed (mole %)		Monte Carlo		
		Experimental ^C	a	b	
4	81.5	69.5	69.9	69.4	
5	69.1	66.7	66.3	66.2	
6	59.8	63.3	-	64.3	
7	34.3	58.7	59.7	60.0	

TABLE 5 (continued)

^aReactivity ratios from Ref. 10. ^bReactivity ratios from Ref. 11. ^cData taken from Ref. 10.

TABLE 6. Sequences Distribution in Styrene (M_1) -Benzylidene Malononitrile (M_2) Copolymers

	Sequence distribution					
k	Copolyn	Copolymer no. 3		ner no. 4		
	$n_1(k)^a$	n _i (k) ^b	$\overline{n_1(k)^a}$	$n_1(k)^b$		
2	153	150	184	163		
3	49	51	52	62		
4	30	31	20	16		
5	11	9	6	9		
6	5	4	3	4		
7	1	1	-	1		
8	1	1				
9	-	~ _				
10	2	1				
11	2	2				

^aResults obtained with reactivity ratios from Ref. 10. ^bResults obtained with reactivity ratios from Ref. 11.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper applied the previously developed Monte Carlo models of binary irreversible copolymerizations with ultimate and penultimate effect to concrete cases. The examples studied argued the advantages of the Monte Carlo models. The ultimate effect copolymerization calculations were compared with the corresponding results obtained with the formal kinetics model. The comparison emphasized that the statements concerning compositions are robust (i.e., they do not depend on the model details), while the statements concerning sequence distribution are fragile (i.e., they strongly depend on model details).

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Motoc, St. Holban, and D. Ciubotariu, <u>J. Polym. Sci., Polym.</u> Chem. Ed., 15, 1465 (1977).
- [2] I. Motoc, St. Holban, and R. Vancea, Ibid., 16, 1601 (1978).
- [3] I. Motoc, R. Vancea, and St. Holban, Ibid., 16, 1587 (1978).
- [4] I. Motoc, R. Vancea, and St. Holban, Ibid., 16, 1595 (1978).
- [5] K. F. O'Driscoll, in <u>Computers in Polymer Sciences</u> (J. S. Mattson, H. B. Mark, and H. C. MacDonald, eds.), Dekker, New York, 1977.
- [6] I. Motoc, Eur. Polym. J., Submitted.
- [7] L. Kuchler, <u>Polymerisationskinetik</u>, Springer, Berlin, 1951.
- [8] R. Levins, "Complex Systems," in <u>Towards a Theoretical</u> <u>Biology</u>, Vol. 3 (C. H. Waddington, ed.), Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1970, pp. 73-88.
- [9] R. J. Eldridge and F. E. Treloar, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 14, 2831 (1976).
- [10] M. Kreisel, U. Garbatski, and D. H. Kohn, <u>J. Polym. Sci.</u>, <u>A2</u>, 105 (1964).
- [11] E. T. Borrows, R. N. Haward, and J. Porges, <u>J. Appl. Chem.</u>, 5, 379 (1955).

Accepted by editor August 8, 1979 Received for publication August 17, 1979